

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. **Date of Submission:** 2010-03-19 13:01:24

2. **Agency:** 015

3. **Bureau:** 10

4. **Name of this Investment:** PACER

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier:** 015-10-01-14-01-1440-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?:** Operations and Maintenance

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? ***

8. **Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.**

PACER On-Line (POL) was initiated in fiscal year 1997 to automate the claims and accounting processes for FMS' disbursing function. As a result of the Debt Collection Act of 1996, the original PACER initiative, (Payments, Accounting, Claims and Enhanced Reconciliation) was rescoped and renamed POL. The payments and reconciliation modules were removed from the overall scope. POL was broken into two modules, the first provided users with the capability to view information about payments that were issued, request claims against those payments and view paid check images. The second module replaced the legacy claims system at the Regional Finance Centers and automated the Regional Disbursing Officer's accounting functions. Part of the investment includes the Digital Check Imaging Replacement (DCIR) system which is how users view check images through POL. PACER closes agency performance gaps by centralizing and modernizing the Regional Finance Centers' claims systems, automating the Regional Disbursing Officer's accounting processes and allowing agencies to view detail information about their payments as well as viewing images of paid checks. POL was reviewed and approved by the FMS Investment Review Board and subsequently the FMS Executive Board. The POL investment was fully implemented during the 1st quarter of FY 2003. The POL investment is in the Steady State phase of the FMS Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process.

- a. **Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.**

9. **Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? ***

- a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. **Contact information of Program/Project Manager?**

- **Name:** *
- **Phone Number:** *
- **Email:** *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *

- computer system security requirement;
- internal control system requirement;
- core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
- Federal accounting standard;
- U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
- this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
- Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL(including FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
GS-35F-4381G TFMS-HQ-08-K-0044 (PACER)	T&M: Time & Materials	Y	2008-03-28	2008-04-01	2013-03-31	\$20.4	*	*	*	*	*

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a.If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of Regional Financial Centers Monthly Statements of Accountability (1219s) for payments/disbursements submitted by the first business day of the Month	100%	100%	100% end of FY 07
2007	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of message alerts responded within 4 hours	98%	99%	99.5 % end of FY 07
2007	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of batch claims files processed within one business day of receipt	98%	99%	99.2% end of FY 07
2007	Preserve the Integrity of Financial Systems	*	*	% of program/software changes/fixes delivered by estimated due date	100%	100%	100% end of FY07
2008	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of Regional Financial Centers Monthly Statements of Accountability (1219s) for payments/disbursements submitted	100%	100%	100% end of FY08
2008	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of message alerts responded within 4 hours	98%	99%	98.9% end of FY 08
2008	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of batch claims files processed within one business day of receipt	98%	99%	100% end of FY 08
2008	Preserve the Integrity of Financial Systems	*	*	% of program/software changes/fixes delivered by estimated due date	100%	100%	100% end FY 08
2009	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of Regional Financial Centers Monthly Statements of Accountability (1219s) for payments/disbu	100%	100%	100% end of FY 09

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				Measurements submitted			
2009	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of message alerts responded within 4 hours	98%	99%	98.6% end of FY 09
2009	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of batch claims files processed within one business day of receipt	98%	99%	100% end of FY 09
2009	Preserve the Integrity of Financial Systems	*	*	% of program/software changes/fixes delivered by estimated due date	100%	100%	100% end of FY 09
2010	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of Regional Financial Centers Monthly Statements of Accountability (1219s) for payments/disbursements submitted	100%	100%	update 1st quarter 10
2010	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of message alerts responded within 4 hours	98%	99%	update 1st quarter 10
2010	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of batch claims files processed within one business day of receipt	98%	99%	update 1st quarter 10
2010	Preserve the Integrity of Financial Systems	*	*	% of program/software changes/fixes delivered by estimated due date	100%	100%	update 1st quarter 10
2011	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of Regional Financial Centers Monthly Statements of Accountability (1219s) for payments/disbursements submitted	100%	100%	update 1st quarter 11
2011	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of message alerts responded within 4 hours	98%	99%	update 1st quarter 11
2011	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of batch claims files processed within one business day of receipt	98%	99%	update 1st quarter 11

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2011	Preserve the Integrity of Financial Systems	*	*	% of program/software changes/fixes delivered by estimated due date	100%	100%	update 1st quarter 11
2012	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of Regional Financial Centers Monthly Statements of Accountability (1219s) for payments/disbursements submitted	100%	100%	update 1st quarter 12
2012	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of message alerts responded within 4 hours	98%	99%	update 1st quarter 12
2012	Management and Organizational Excellence	*	*	% of batch claims files processed within one business day of receipt	98%	100%	update 1st quarter 12
2012	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	% of program/software changes/fixes delivered by estimated due date	100%	100%	update 1st quarter 12

Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
FY05 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTEs	\$4.6	\$4.2	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY06 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTE costs	\$4.5	\$4.2	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY07 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTE costs	\$4.6	\$4.6	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY08 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTE costs	\$4.7	\$4.4	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY09 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTEs	\$4.9	\$4.1	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY10 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTEs	\$5.0	\$3.1	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		75.00%	75.00%
FY11 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTEs	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY12 Ongoing O&M Contract Costs Includes FMS FTEs	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

* - Indicates data is redacted.